

ASSAM ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILE NO. AERC. 395/2012 Petition No.: 13/2015

ORDER SHEET

13.12.2016 E

Before the Assam Electricity Regulatory Commission ASEB Campus, Dwarandhar,

G. S. Road, Sixth Mile, Guwahati - 781 022

M/s Eastern India Powertech Limited (EIPL) ----- Petitioner
Government of Assam represented by Chief Secretary -----Respondent-1
Assam Power Distribution Company Ltd. (APDCL) ----- Pro Forma Respondent-3

Date of Hearing:

Counsel for Petitioner:

13.12.2016

Mr. D. Senapati, Advocate, EIPL Mr. A. Jalan, Advocate, EIPL Mr. P Lal, Advocate, EIPL Ms. A. Agarwal, Advocate, EIPL Ms. S Katakey, Advocate, EIPL

Counsel for Respondent-1:

Counsel for Respondent-2:

Ms. U Saikia, Govt. of Assam

Mr. H M Sharma, GM, APDCL Mr. K Talukdar, GM(TRC), APDCL Mr. M Bhattacharya, Dy AO, APDCL Mr. Avijit Roy, Advocate, APDCL

In the matter of

Petition No. 13 of 2015 filed by M/s Eastern India Powertech Limited requesting for invocation of Section 11(2) of the Electricity Act'2003 in view of imposition of Section 11 of the Electricity Act'2003 by the Govt. of Assam for running of EIPL plants

CORAM

Shri Naba Kr. Das Chairperson Shri Dipak Chakravarty, Member Shri Subhash Ch. Das, Member

ORDER

- 1. A Hearing was held on 13.12.2016, on the Petition No. 13 of 2015 filed by M/s Eastern India Powertech Limited requesting for invocation of Section 11(2) of the Electricity Act'2003 in view of imposition of Section 11 of the Electricity Act'2003 by the Govt. of Assam for running of EIPL plants.
- 2. During the Hearing, the representative of the Petitioner submitted referring to the Para 6.2 of the Commission's order dated 18.11.2016, the Section 62 and 11 of the EA 2003 can be treated parallelly. The representative of the Petitioner requested the Commission to take up the matter of Tariff determination as well as determination of adverse financial impact to EIPL parallellly, as the process for finalization of tariff takes considerable time. The Petitioner also insisted on

- continuation of the petition to take care of issues related to limitation as well as cause of action.
- 3. The representative of the Respondent-2 submitted that the there is no relation to Section 62 and 11 of the EA 2003 and should be treated individually. They also submitted that any adverse financial impact to EIPL as per Section 11(2) can be ascertained only after determination of tariff for 2010-11 onwards till closure of the plants. As such, representative of the Respondent-2 again reiterated that Petition No 13 of 2015 is infructuous at this point of time and is liable to be dismissed, as the matter can be decided only after the determination of Tariff and true-up for the respective years are completed.
- 4. The Hon'ble commission enquired from the Petitioner as well as Respondent 2 about non submission of comments on the submissions made by Respondent- 1 vide letter dated 26.08.2016 as per direction of the Commission dated 18.11.2016
- 5. The Commission after hearing the parties has ordered the following:
 - 5.1. The Commission directed the parties to submit their comments on the submission made by Respondent-1 immediately.
 - 5.2. The issue of any adverse financial impact of the directions issued vide Section 11(1) can be ascertained only after determination of tariff for the period from FY 2010-11 till closure of the plant..
 - 5.3. The Petitioner will submit a supplementary petition after Tariff for period from FY 2010-11 onwards is finalized giving the details of the calculation of the adverse financial impact, if any, including the plant wise details of cost of generation of power.
 - 5.4. With the above observation the Commission decided to keep the matter in abeyance until further order.
 - 5.5. The Petitioner and Respondents will make submissions in the Format prescribed vide Regulations of the Commission and will provide copies of their submissions to the opposite party.

Sd/-(Subhash Ch.Das) Member AERC Sd/-(Dipak Chakravarty) Member AERC Sd/-(Naba Kumar Das) Chairperson AERC