



ASSAM ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILE NO. AERC. 568/2016

Petition No.:11/2016 & IA No.:6/2016

ORDER SHEET

03.11.2016

Before the Assam Electricity Regulatory Commission
ASEB Campus, Dwarandhar,
G. S. Road, Sixth Mile, Guwahati – 781 022

Sharada Erectors Pvt. Ltd. ---- Petitioner
Assam Power Distribution Company limited (APDCL) ---- Respondent-1
Assam Electricity Grid Corporation Limited (AEGCL) ---- Respondent-2

Representative for Petitioner:

Mr. Miresh Sheth
Mr. Akshay Joshi

Representative for Respondent1:

Mr. K Talukdar GM (TRC)
Mr. K. Goswami, DGM (Com)
Mr. A.K Goswami DGM NRE
Mr. Pradip Goswami, AGM NRE
Mr. Indrajit Tahbildar DM, Com (Tariff)

Representative for Respondent 2:

Mr. Anirban Roy, AGM, AEGCL

In the matter of

Hearing dated 03.11.2016 on the Petition No. 11 of 2016 and Interlocutory Petition No. 06 of 2016 filed by Sharada Erectors Pvt. Limited requesting for determination of Tariff for their 10 MW Solar PV plant proposed to be set up at Saraguri Village Chalchali-Mouza Nagaon Assam.

CORAM

Shri Naba Kr. Das Chairperson
Shri Dipak Chakravarty, Member
Shri Subhash Ch. Das, Member

ORDER

1. A Hearing was held on 03/11/2016, on the Petition No. 11 of 2016 and an Interlocutory Petition No.6 of 2016 filed by Sharda Erectors Pvt. Ltd. requesting for determination of Tariff for their 10 MW Solar PV plant proposed to be set up at Saraguri Village Chalchali-Mouza Nagaon Assam. Prior to hearing, public comments were sought; however, no objections & comments were received from public.
2. At present, the Petitioner has got an MOU with APDCL, with a validity upto 03/12/2016.
3. During the Hearing, the Commission asked the Petitioner that, as the petitions filed by J.J PV Solar Pvt Ltd, JP Structures Pvt Ltd, Marahar Power Controls Pvt Ltd, Sharada Erectors Pvt Ltd and Sharada Windpower Pvt Ltd is being represented by the same persons i.e. Mr. Miresh Sheth & Mr. Akshay Joshi and the projects proposed to be set up in adjacent locations, whether a common transmission facility for evacuation of power can be developed. In reply, the Representatives of the Petitioners submitted that to lower the evacuation cost, a common Evacuation system can be constructed and the cost may perhaps be shared equally. The Commission directed the petitioner to

make the submission on sharing of Evacuation Cost in an affidavit form to the Commission.

4. Further, in reply to Query on optimization of O & M Cost, the Representatives of the Petitioner submitted that sharing of O & M Cost can be worked out after Commissioning of the project.
5. The Representative of Respondent 1 submitted that the Land details such as Land Agreement (Dag No., Patta No. etc), Map etc. have not been received. The Commission directed the Petitioner to submit the relevant documents in this regard to the Respondent 1.
6. In reply to Query related to clause for purchase of land in the Land document & decision of the Petitioner, the Representative of the Petitioner submitted that the land for the project will be purchased and will not be on lease.

The Commission heard both the Petitioner & Respondent and reserves its order at this point of time.

Sd/-
(Subhash Ch. Das)
Member
AERC

Sd/-
(Dipak Chakravarty)
Member
AERC

Sd/-
(Naba Kumar Das)
Chairperson
AERC