



ASSAM ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILE NO. AERC. 520/2016

Petition No.:23/2015 & I/A No 1/2017

ORDER SHEET

29.05.2017

Before the Assam Electricity Regulatory Commission
ASEB Campus, Dwarandhar,
G. S. Road, Sixth Mile, Guwahati – 781 022

Borooah Energy Pvt. Ltd. ---- Petitioner
Assam Power Distribution Company limited (APDCL) ---- Respondent-1
Assam Electricity Grid Corporation Limited (AEGCL) ---- Respondent-2

Date of Hearing: 29.05.2017

Representative for Petitioner:
Mr. Rohit Borooah
Mr. K K Barooah

Representative for Respondent 1 & 2: (Absent)

In the matter of

Petition No 23/2015 and Interlocutory
Petition No. 1 of 2017 filed by Borooah
Energy Pvt. Ltd requesting for
determination of Tariff for their 3 MW Solar
PV plant proposed to be set up at
Khonamukh, Nagaon Assam.

CORAM

Shri Naba Kr. Das Chairperson
Shri Dipak Chakravarty, Member
Shri Subhash Ch. Das, Member

ORDER

1. The Petitioner had originally filed a Petition (Petition No 23/2015) for determination of Tariff for their 3 MW Solar PV plant proposed to be set up at Industrial Growth Centre, Matia, Goalpara, Assam.
2. Thereafter, on 02.03.2017 the Petitioner has filed an Interlocutory Petition (Petition No. IA 1 of 2017) requesting for determination of Tariff for their 3 MW Solar PV plant proposed to be set up at Khonamukh Nagaon Assam.
3. The MOU with APDCL signed on 13/08/2015, submitted along with the Petition No 23/2015 was pertaining to project location of Industrial Growth Centre, Matia, Goalpara, Assam and the same has expired now.
Further, for the new location proposed vide IA 1/2017, the Petitioner has not submitted any MoU or PPA for sale of power.
4. On a query raised by the Commission regarding the MoU of the project, during the Hearing dated 29.05.2017, the Petitioner mentioned that the MoU signed for the earlier project location of Industrial Growth Centre, Matia, Goalpara, Assam has expired and is not extended by APDCL till date.

Further, during the Hearing, the Petitioner stated that neither MoU nor PPA has been signed by APDCL for the newly proposed project location.

5. The Petitioner sought some time for signing MoU/PPA with APDCL for the new proposed project location.

6. The Commission heard the Petitioner and ordered the following:

6.1 Considering the unavailability of valid MoU/PPA for proposed project, the Petition has become infructuous.

6.2 Furthermore, Petition for determination of Tariff for any new projects will be considered only if there is valid PPA with APDCL.

6.3 In this case, if the Petitioner is able to execute PPA with APDCL within a period of 1(one) year, the Petitioner may approach the Commission along with the PPA without payment of any additional fee.

6.4 The Petition is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-
(Subhash Ch. Das)
Member
AERC

Sd/-
(Dipak Chakravarty)
Member
AERC

Sd/-
(Naba Kumar Das)
Chairperson
AERC